

## 1 Systems of coordinates, connections

Let  $\Lambda$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $C$ . Systems of coordinates for a (nonzero) finitely generated projective module  $P$  over  $\Lambda$  arise directly from the splitting of a map  $\Lambda^g \rightarrow P$  determining a set of  $g$  generators for  $P$ . Because  $\Lambda$  is a Dedekind ring the situation simplifies. First, by Steinitz' theorem,  $P$  has the form  $\Lambda^{r-1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$  with  $\mathfrak{a}$  a nonzero integral ideal of  $\Lambda$ . The case of a free module being straightforward, we are reduced to studying systems of coordinates for  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

If we wish to consider a set of  $g$  generators for  $\mathfrak{a}$  (in general, we may take  $g \leq 2$ ), then we start with a map  $\pi: \Lambda^g \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  with the generators of  $\mathfrak{a}$  given by  $\pi(e_j)$  where  $e_j = (\delta_{ij})_i$  (using the Kronecker delta) represents the standard basis of  $\Lambda^g$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is projective,  $\pi$  admits a splitting  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g): \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \Lambda^g$  with  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Each  $\sigma_j \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{a}, \Lambda)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  be the fractional ideal inverse to  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; that is,  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = \Lambda$ . Then there is a canonical isomorphism  $\mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{a}, \Lambda)$ .

**Proof.** Define  $\mu: \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{a}, \Lambda)$  by sending any  $a^* \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  to the map  $a \mapsto aa^* \in \Lambda$ . In the other direction, because for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{a}, \Lambda)$  we have

$$\varphi(a)b = \varphi(ab) = a\varphi(b),$$

$\varphi$  determines a unique element, written as, namely  $\nu(\varphi) = \varphi(a)a^{-1} = \varphi(b)b^{-1}$  of  $C$ . It is easy to check that the homomorphisms  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are mutually inverse.  $\square$

Thus, we can abuse notation and equally write  $\sigma: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \Lambda^g$  as  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g) \in (\mathfrak{a}^*)^g$  where for  $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$  we have  $\sigma_j(a) = \sigma_j a$ . It is often convenient to write  $s_j = \pi(e_j)$ . Then the fact  $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}}$  corresponds, for each  $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$ , to

$$a = \pi(\sigma_1 a, \dots, \sigma_g a) = \pi\left(\sum (\sigma_j a) e_j\right) = \sum (\sigma_j a) \pi(e_j) = a \sum \sigma_j s_j,$$

from which we deduce that  $\sum \sigma_j s_j = 1$ . Conversely, given elements  $s_1, \dots, s_g \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , these displayed equations reveal that when  $\sum \sigma_j s_j = 1$  we obtain  $a = \sum s_j \sigma_j a$ , whence  $(s_1, \dots, s_g; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g)$  forms a system of coordinates for  $\mathfrak{a}$  in the classical sense. Since  $\mathfrak{a}^{**} = \mathfrak{a}$ , we also see that  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g; s_1, \dots, s_g)$  is equally a system of coordinates for  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . In sum, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.** A system of coordinates for a nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\Lambda$  is precisely a pair of  $g$ -tuples  $(s_1, \dots, s_g) \in \mathfrak{a}^g$  and  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g) \in (\mathfrak{a}^*)^g$ , written  $\mathcal{S} = (s_1, \dots, s_g; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g)$ , such that  $\sum \sigma_j s_j = 1$ . Equivalently, such pairs of  $g$ -tuples written as  $\mathcal{S}^* = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_g; s_1, \dots, s_g)$  are equivalent to systems of coordinates for  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . In general, we may choose  $g = 1$  when  $\mathfrak{a}$  is principal, and  $g = 2$  otherwise. Every nonzero ideal of  $\Lambda$  admits systems of coordinates.  $\square$